

1st European Conference on Infection in Leukemia

# Empirical antibacterial treatment -Aminoglycosides

Sept. 30th / Oct. 1st 2005 Juan-les-Pins - France









## Background

<u>Goals of initial empirical antibacterial</u> <u>combination therapy:</u>

- Broad spectrum coverage
- Bactericidal concentrations
- Synergistic effect
- Prevention of bacterial resistance



Where is the place of beta-lactam + aminoglycoside combination in the treatment of febrile neutropenic patients (especially high-risk population) ?





1) Should AG be given as upfront empirical therapy in febrile neutropenic patients? (if not: Are there some specific indications for AG upfront empirical therapy?) Should AG be given in patients with persistent fever after initiation of broad spectrum empirical ATB ? (modification)



## Questions II.

3) Should AG be given in case of microbiologically-documented infection in febrile neutropenic patients ? (all patients? in specific conditions ?)

4) What is the optimal administration schedule for AG in neutropenic patients ? (once-daily or multiple daily regimens ?)



• <u>NCCN</u>(v. 1.2004)

**1.line** : in combination with antipseudomonadal beta-lactam if:

 high risk of *P. aeruginosa* infection (prior infection, ecthyma gangrenosum, invasive disease) or clinically unstable patient (hypotension)



• <u>NCCN</u>(v. 1.2004)

### Modification:

 consider to add AG if the patient (with high risk od Pseudomonas infection) has persistent fever on monotherapy or is unstable or Pseudomonas infection is microbiologically documented
 <u>OD dosing:</u> not recommended as a standard treatment



IDSA (Hughes et al., 2002)
 1. line: beta-lactam + AG may be used for management of complicated cases and/or if resistance is a problem
 Monotherapy = combination (A-1)



European Conference of Infection In \_\_\_\_\_ Leukemia

### • IDSA

Modification: AG may be added in case of progressive infection, documented resistant Gram-negative infection

OD dosing: not recommended as a standard treatment



AGIHO/DGHO (Link et al., 2003) 1. line: AG + beta-lactam may be used Modification: may add AG in case of persistent fever, if initial monotherapy failed, according to clinical conditions and sensitivity of pathogen OD dosing: optional (preferrably use NET and AMI)



European Conference of Infection in \_\_\_\_\_ Leukemia

## Study flow chart

**Potentially relevant articles: 256** 

Not relevant: 549

**Total articles retrieved: 805** 

Excluded: pharmacokinetic, microbiological "in vitro" or epidemiological studies: 103

Excluded: trials in which an antibiotics combination (e.g glycopeptides, quinolones, cotrimoxazole) was evaluated with or without an aminoglycoside: 38

**Excluded: other reasons: 32** 

75 randomised controlled trials comparing beta-lactam monotherapy vs. beta-lactam-aminoglycoside combination therapy for high-risk febrile neutropenia included (66 assessed as part of existing meta-analyses)

9 randomised controlled trials comparing once daily vs. thrice-daily aminoglycoside treatment for febrile neutropenia included (4 assessed as part of existing meta-analyses)



1st European Conference on Infection in — Leukemia Scope of the review: Final evaluation

- 2 meta-analyses(Furno et al., 2002; Paul et al., 2003)
- 9 trials/articles
- 15 abstracts from proposed meetings



European Conference of Infection In \_\_\_\_\_ Leukemia Betalactam monotherapy versus betalactamaminoglycoside combination therapy in cancer patients with neutropenia

## Paul M, Soares-Weiser K, Grozinsky S, Leibovici L

### The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2003, Issue 3



#### 46 RCT; 7642 patients; 583 bacteremic episodes; 58 with Ps. aeruginosa

## Results

- Primary outcome measure: <u>all cause mortality</u>
   No significant difference between monotherapy and combination (also in six subgroups): RR 0.85
- Secondary outcome measure: treatment failure

   no difference in 9 trials comparing the same betalactam RR 1.12
   advantage to monotherapy in 37 trials comparing different betalactams
   (mainly for patients with documented infection or with hemat.
   malignancy) RR 0.86
   advantage to combination treatment in patients with severe neutropenia
   RR 1.49



European Conference of Infection In \_\_\_\_\_ Leukemia

## Results

• Superinfections

bacterial: no difference

<u>fungal</u>: more frequent in combination group (not significantly different)

• Adverse events

significantly more frequent in combination group RR 0.42 for nephrotoxicity (risk higher also in trials using OD regimens- RR 0.20) discontinuation of study drugs more often in combination group



European Conference on Infection In Leukemia

Monotherapy or aminoglycosidecontaining combinations for empirical treatment of febrile neutropenic patients: a meta-analysis

### Furno P, Bucaneve G, Del Favero A

#### The Lancet Infectious Diseases, Vol 2, April 2002



#### 29 RCT, 4795 febrile episodes, subset of 1029 bacteremic episodes

## Results

- Outcome measure: treatment failure
- Odds ratios for individual studies favor monotherapy in 20 studies, combo in 8 studies
- Pooled odds ratio of clinical failure with monotherapy versus combo = 0.88
- Subgroup analyses (pts with severe neutropenia; "higher quality" studies) : no significant difference
- Subgroup analyses (pts > 14 years; bacteremic episodes) : marginally significant differenc in favour of monotherapy)

#### Monotherapy is as effective as combination of betalactam plus aminoglycoside



## Literature not analysed in meta-analyses

 9 trials were identified
 The results of our analysis have not found different results compared with both meta-analyses



European Conference of Infection in \_\_\_\_\_ Leukemia

### Question 1

• Is betalactam monotherapy as efficacious as betalactam plus AG combination as initial empirical therapy in AL or HSCT febrile neutropenic patients ?

## YES (AI)

overall response (resolution of fever or infection without initial regimen modification) response in documented Gram-neg. infections overall survival infection-related mortality



### **Question 2**

Is betalactam plus aminoglycoside combination more toxic than betalactam monotherapy ?

### YES Nephrotoxicity (AI) Ototoxicity (AI)



1st European Conference on Infection in — Leukemia

## Questions 3/4

• Are there data supporting the empirical addition of AG to the initial antibiotic regimen in patients with persistent fever?

### NO (CIII)

• Are there data supporting the addition of AG to the inital antibiotic regimen in case of microbiologically-documented gram-negative infection ?

NO (CIII)



### Question 5

• Is once-daily dosing of AG as efficacious as and less toxic than multiple dosing regimen in febrile neutropenic patients ?

YES (AI) Supported by data in non neutropenic patients



1st European Conference of Infection in \_\_\_\_\_ Leukemia

### Questions 6-10

- Is there any evidence supporting the use of beta-lactam + AG combination in neutropenic patients:
- 1. With high suspicion (i.e.: local epidemiology) of resistant gramnegative infections, including *Ps. aeruginosa*: YES (C III)
- 2. For severe sepsis and septic shock: YES (C III)
- 3. For pneumonia: NO (C III)
- 4. For preventing the emergence of resistance during empirical treatment: NO (B I)



Problem	Recommendation	Grading
BL monotherapy is as efficacious as BL+AG as empirical therapy of febrile neutropenia	YES	AI
BL+ AG combination is more nephrotoxic and ototoxic than BL monotherapy	YES	AI
OD dosing of AG are as efficacious as and less nephrotoxic than MDD	YES	AI
Empirical addition of AG to the initial regimen in patients with persistent fever	NO	C III
<b>Empirical use of BL+AG combination in patients in whom a resistant Gram-negative infection<sup>2</sup> is suspected</b>	YES	C III
Addition of AG to the initial regimen in case of documented <i>P. aeruginosa</i> infection	NO	C III
Use of BL+AG combination in patients with severe sepsis or septic shock	YES	C III
Use of BL+AG in neutropenic patients with pneumonia	NO	C III
Use of BL+AG combination to prevent emergence of resistance during therapy	NO	BI
European Conference on Infection In Leukemia		

Working group:

**Giampaolo Bucaneve Paolo Furno** Lubos Drgona **Mical Paul** Leaders: **Francesco Menichetti** 

## **Thierry Calandra**

